## Comp 5311 Database Management Systems

## 2. Relational Model and Algebra

## Basic Concepts of the Relational Model

- Entities and relationships of the E-R model are stored in tables also called relations (not to be confused with relationships in the E-R model)
- Well-defined semantics and languages for manipulating the tables
- Ease of implementation - write queries on tables without caring about the physical level and optimization issues
- Most popular DBMSs today are based on relational data model (or an extension of it, e.g., objectrelational data model)


## Terminology

- Relation $\leftrightarrow$ table; denoted by $R\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ where $R$ is a relation name and $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ is the relation schema of $R$
- Attribute (column) $\leftrightarrow$ denoted by $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- Tuple (Record) $\leftrightarrow$ row
- Attribute value $\leftrightarrow$ value stored in a table cell
- Domain $\leftrightarrow$ legal type and range of values of an attribute denoted by $\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
- Attribute: Age
- Attribute: EmpName
- Attribute: Salary

Domain: [0-100]
Domain: 50 alphabetic chars
Domain: non-negative integer

## An Example Relation

## Relation Name/Table Name



| Name | Student-id | Age | CGA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chan Kin Ho | 99223367 | 23 | 11.19 |
| Lam Wai Kin | 96882145 | 17 | 10.89 |
| Man Ko Yee | 96452165 | 22 | 8.75 |
| Lee Chin Cheung | 96154292 | 16 | 10.98 |
| Alvin Lam | 96520934 | 15 | 9.65 |

Tuples/Rows (instance)

## Characteristics of Relations

- Tuples in a relation are not considered to be ordered, even though they appear to be in a tabular form. (Recall that a relation is a set of tuples.)
- All attribute values are considered atomic. Multivalued and composite attribute values are not allowed in tables, although they are permitted by the ER diagrams
- A special null value is used to represent values that are:
- Not applicable (phone number for a client that has no phone)
- Missing values (there is a phone number but we do not know it yet)
- Not known (we do not know whether there is a phone number or not)


## Keys

- Let $K \subseteq R$ (I.e., $K$ is a set of attributes which is a subset of the schema of $R$ )
- $K$ is a superkey of $R$ if $K$ can identify a unique tuple in a given relation $r(R)$

Student(SID, HKID, Name, Address, ...)
where SID and HKID are unique.
Possible superkeys:
SID
HKID
\{SID, Name\}
\{HKID, Name, Address\}
plus many others

- K is a candidate key if K is minimal
- In the above example there are two candidate keys: SID and HKID
- Every relation must have at least one candidate key.
- If there are multiple, one is chosen as the primary key.


## Need for Multiple Tables

- Storing all information as a single relation such as bank(account-number, balance, customer-name, customer-addr, ..) results in
- repetition of information (e.g. repeat the customer info for each of his/her accounts)
- the need for null values (e.g. represent a customer without an account)
- That is why we need the ER diagrams (and some additional normalization techniques discussed later) to break up information into parts, with each relation storing one part.
E.g.: account: stores information about accounts depositor: stores information about which customer owns which account
customer : stores information about customers


## Reduction of an E-R Schema to Relations

- A database which conforms to an E-R diagram can be represented by a collection of tables.
- Converting an E-R diagram to a table format is "automatic".
- For each entity set there is a unique table which is assigned the name of the corresponding entity set.
- Each table has a number of columns (generally corresponding to attributes), which have unique names.


## Composite and Multivalued Attributes

- Composite attributes are flattened out by creating a separate attribute for each component attribute
- E.g. given entity set customer with composite attribute name with component attributes first-name and last-name the customer table has two attributes name.first-name and name.last-name
- A multivalued attribute $M$ of an entity $E$ is represented by a separate table EM
- Table EM has attributes corresponding to the primary key of E and an attribute corresponding to multivalued attribute M
- E.g. Multivalued attribute phone-number of employee is represented by a table employee-phone(employee-id, phone-number)
- Each value of the multivalued attribute maps to a separate row of the table EM
- E.g., an employee with primary key 19444 and phones 23580000, 95555555 maps to two rows: $(19444,23580000)$ and (19444, 95555555)


## Representing Weak Entity Sets

A weak entity set becomes a table that includes a column for the primary key of the identifying strong entity set


## Representing Relationship Sets as Tables

- A many-to-many relationship set is represented as a table with columns for the primary keys of the two participating entity sets, and any descriptive attributes of the relationship set.
- E.g.: table for relationship set borrower



## Redundancy of Tables

Many-to-one and one-to-many relationship sets that are total on the many-side can be represented by adding an extra attribute to the many side, containing the primary key of the one side

Instead of creating a table for relationship account-branch, add the key of branch (branch-name) to the entity set account
branch-name in account is a foreign key


## Redundancy of Tables (Cont.)

- For one-to-one relationship sets, either side can be chosen to act as the "many" side
- That is, extra attribute can be added to either of the tables corresponding to the two entity sets
- If participation is partial on the many side, replacing a table by an extra attribute in the relation corresponding to the "many" side could result in null values
- The table corresponding to a relationship set linking a weak entity set to its identifying strong entity set is redundant.
- E.g. The payment table already contains the information that would appear in the loan-payment table (i.e., the columns loan-number and paymentnumber).


## Representing Specialization as Tables

- Method 1:
- Form a table for the higher level entity
- Form a table for each lower level entity set, include primary key of higher level entity set and local attributes

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { table } \\ \text { person }\end{array}$ | table attributes |
| :--- | :--- |
| id, name, street, city |  |$\}$

- Drawback: getting information about, e.g., employee requires accessing two tables


## Specialization as Tables (Cont.)

- Method 2:
- Form a table for each entity set with all local and inherited attributes


If specialization is total, no need to create table for generalized entity (person)

- Drawback: street and city may be stored redundantly for persons who are both customers and employees


## Relational Query Languages

- Query languages (QL): Allow retrieval of data from a database.
- Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
- Strong formal foundation based on logic.
- Allows for much optimization.
- Query Languages != programming languages!
- QLs not expected to be "Turing complete".
- QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
- QLs support easy and efficient access to large data sets.


## Formal Relational Query Languages

- Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
Relational Algebra: Procedural, very useful for representing execution plans.
Relational Calculus. Lets users describe what they want, rather than how to compute it. (NonProcedural, declarative.)

We focus on Algebra: Understanding Algebra is key to understanding SQL and query processing!

## Relational Algebra

- Basic operations:
- Projection ( $\pi$ ) Deletes unwanted columns from relation.
- Selection ( $\sigma$ ) Selects a subset of rows from relation.
- Set-difference ( - ) Finds tuples in table 1, but not in table 2.
- Union ( $\cup$ ) Finds tuples that belong to table 1 or table 2.
- Cross-product ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) Allows us to combine two relations.
- Rename ( $p$ ) Allows us to rename a relation and/or its attributes.
- Additional operations:
- Intersection, join, division: Not essential, but (very!) useful.
- Each operation returns a relation, and operations can be composed! Algebra is "closed".


## Projection $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{R})$

- Deletes attributes that are not in projection list $L$.
- Schema of result contains exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the (only) input relation.
- Projection operator eliminates duplicates!
Plane

| Maker | Model_No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airbus | A310 |
| Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A330 |
| Airbus | A340 |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |

$$
\pi_{\text {Maker }} \text { (Plane) }
$$

| Maker |
| :--- |
| Airbus |
| MD |

## Selection $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{R})$

- Selects rows (records/tuples) that satisfy a selection condition c.
- Schema of result identical to schema of (only) input relation.
- A condition c has the form: Term Op Term
- where Term is an attribute name or Term is a constant
- Op is one of $<,>,=, \neq$, etc.
- ( $\mathbf{C 1} \wedge \mathbf{C 2}),(\mathbf{C 1} \vee \mathbf{C 2}),(\neg \mathbf{C 1})$ are conditions where C 1 and C 2 are conditions.
- ^ means AND
- $v$ means OR
- $\neg$ means NOT


## Selection example

## Plane

| Maker | Model_No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airbus | A310 |
| Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A330 |
| Airbus | A340 |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |

$\sigma_{\text {Maker="MD" }}$ (Plane)

| Maker | Model No |
| :--- | :--- |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |

- No duplicates in result! (Why?)
- The resulting relation can be the input for another relational algebra operation! (Operator composition)

Plane

| Maker | Model_No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airbus | A310 |
| Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A330 |
| Airbus | A340 |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |

$\pi_{\text {Model_No }}\left(\sigma_{\text {Maker="MD" }}\right.$ (Plane) $)$

| Model No |
| :--- |
| DC10 |
| DC9 |21

## Set Operations

- Union, Intersection, Set-Difference
- These three operations take two input relations, which must be union-compatible:
- Same number of fields.
- Corresponding fields have the same type.
- Output is a single relation (that does not contain duplicates)


## Set operations - Union

## - Plane $_{1} \cup$ Plane $_{2}$



## Set operations - Set difference

## - Plane $_{1}$ - Plane $_{2}$

- Contains records that appear in Plane $_{\mathbf{1}}$ but not Plane $_{\mathbf{2}}$

| Maker | Model_No | Maker | Model_No | Maker | Model No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airbus | A310 | Boeing | B727 | Maker | Model_No |
| Airbus | A320 |  |  | Airbus | A310 |
| Airbus | A330 | Boeing | B747 | Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A340 | Boeing | B757 | Airbus | A330 |
| MD | DC10 |  |  | Airbus | A340 |
| MD | DC9 |  |  |  |  |

## Set operations - Intersection

## - Plane $_{1} \cap$ Plane $_{2}$

- Contains records that appear in both tables

| Maker | Model_No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airbus | A310 |
| Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A330 |
| Airbus | A340 |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |


|  | Maker | Model_No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Boeing | B727 |
|  | Boeing | B747 |
|  | Boeing | B757 |
| $\rightarrow$ | MD | DC10 |
| $\rightarrow$ | MD | DC9 |



## Intersection is not a primitive operation

$$
\text { - } R \cap S=((R \cup S)-(R-S))-(S-R)
$$

Compute all tuples belonging to R or S

Remove the ones that belong only to R belong only to $S$
Also: $R \cap S=R-(R-S)$

## Cartesian Product

- Combines each row of one table with every row of another table
- Can_fly $\times$ Plane

| Emp_No | Model_No |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1001 | B727 |
| 1001 | B747 |
| 1001 | DC10 |
| 1002 | A320 |
| 1002 | A340 |
| 1002 | B757 |
| 1002 | DC9 |
| 1003 | A310 |
| 1003 | DC9 |


|  | Maker |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airbus | Model_No |
| Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A330 |
| Airbus | A340 |
| Boeing | B727 |
| Boeing | B747 |
| Boeing | B757 |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |


| Emp_No | Model_No | Maker | Model_No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | B727 | Airbus | A310 |
| 1001 | B727 | Airbus | A320 |
| 1001 | B727 | Airbus | A330 |
| 1001 | B727 | Airbus | A340 |
| 1001 | B727 | Boeing | B727 |
| 1001 | B727 | Boeing | B747 |
| 1001 | B727 | Boeing | B757 |
| 1001 | B727 | MD | DC10 |
| 1001 | B727 | MD | DC9 |
| 1001 | B747 | Airbus | A310 |
| 1001 | B747 | Airbus | A320 |
| 1001 | B747 | Airbus | A330 |
| 1001 | B747 | Airbus | A340 |
| 1001 | B747 | Boeing | B727 |
| 1001 | B747 | Boeing | B747 |
| 1001 | B747 | Boeing | B757 |
| 1001 | B747 | MD | DC10 |
| 1001 | B747 | MD | DC9 |
| 1001 | B727 | Airbus | A310 |
| 1001 | B727 | Airbus | A320 |
| " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | " ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | ''] | " ${ }^{\prime}$ |

## 81 t-uples!!!

## Join

- Generating all possible combinations of tuples is not usually meaningful.
- In the previous example, it makes more sense to combine each tuple of Can_Fly with the corresponding record of the Plane.
- Join is a cartesian product followed by a selection:
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}} \bowtie_{c} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathbf{R}_{1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2}\right)$
- Sometimes we use the word JOIN instead of symbol $\bowtie$
- Types of joins:
$\theta$-join: arbitrary conditions in the selection
Equijoin: all conditions are equalities
Natural join: combines two relations on the equality of the attributes with the same names
- Both equijoin and natural join project only one of the redundant attributes


## Natural Join Example

Can_fly $凶_{h}$ Plane Can_fly JOIN ${ }_{n}$ Plane Can_fly JOIN ${ }_{\text {Model_No }}$ Plane Can_fly JOIN Can_ly.Model_No=Plane.Model_No Plane

| Emp No | Model No | Maker | \| Model_No | Emp_No | Model_No | Maker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | B727 ${ }^{-}$ | Airbus | A310 | 1003 | A310 | Airbus |
| 1001 | B747 | Airbus | A320 | 1002 | A320 | Airbus |
| 1001 | DC10 | Airbus | A330 | 1002 | A340 | Airbus |
| 1002 | A320 | Airbus | A340 | 1001 | B727 | Boeing |
| 1002 | A340 | Boeing | B727 | 1001 | B747 | Boeing |
| 1002 | B757 | Boeing | B747 | 1002 | B757 | Boeing |
| 1002 | DC9 | Boeing | B757 | 1001 | DC10 | MD |
| 1003 | A310 | MD | DC10 | 1002 | DC9 | MD |
| 1003 | DC9 | MD | DC9 | 1003 | DC9 | MD |

## $\theta$-Join Example

- We have a Flight table that records the Number of the flight, Origin, Destination, Departure and Arrival Time.
- We join this table with itself (self-join) using the condition:
- Flight1.Dest $=$ Flight2.Origin $\wedge$ Flight1.Arr_Time $<$ Flight2.Dept_Time
- What should we get?

| Num | Origin | Dest | Dep_Time | Arr_Time | Num | Origin | Dest | Dep_Time | Arr_Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 334 | ORD | MIA | 12:00 | 14:14 | 334 | ORD | MIA | 12:00 | 14:14 |
| 335 | MIA | ORD | 15:00 | 17:14 | 335 | MIA | ORD | 15:00 | 17:14 |
| 336 | ORD | MIA | 18:00 | 20:14 | 336 | ORD | MIA | 18:00 | 20:14 |
| 337 | MIA | ORD | 20:30 | 23:53 | 337 | MIA | ORD | 20:30 | 23:53 |
| 394 | DFW | MIA | 19:00 | 21:30 | 394 | DFW | MIA | 19:00 | 21:30 |
| 395 | MIA | DFW | 21:00 | 23:43 | 395 | MIA | DFW | 21:00 | 23:43 |

## $\theta$-Join Example (cont)

Flight1.Dest $=$ Flight2.Origin $\wedge$ Flight1.Arr_Time $<$ Flight2.Dept_Time

| Flight1. <br> Num | Flight1. <br> Origin | Flight1 <br> .Dest | Flight1.De <br> p_Time | Flight1.Ar <br> r_Time | Flight2_ <br> 1.Num | Flight2.Or <br> igin | Flight2. <br> Dest | Flight2.Dep <br> Time | Flight2.Arr_ <br> Time |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 334 | ORD | MIA | $12: 00$ | $14: 14$ | 335 | MIA | ORD | $15: 00$ | $17: 14$ |
| 335 | MIA | ORD | $15: 00$ | $17: 14$ | 336 | ORD | MIA | $18: 00$ | $20: 14$ |
| 336 | ORD | MIA | $18: 00$ | $20: 14$ | 337 | MIA | ORD | $20: 30$ | $23: 53$ |
| 334 | ORD | MIA | $12: 00$ | $14: 14$ | 337 | MIA | ORD | $20: 30$ | $23: 53$ |
| 336 | ORD | MIA | $18: 00$ | $20: 14$ | 395 | MIA | DFW | $21: 00$ | $23: 43$ |
| 334 | ORD | MIA | $12: 00$ | $14: 14$ | 395 | MIA | DFW | $21: 00$ | $23: 43$ |

What happens if we add the condition Flight1.Origin $\neq$ Flight2.Dest

## Renaming $\rho$

- If attributes or relations have the same name it may be convenient to rename one

$$
\rho\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}->\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}->\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{R}\right)
$$

- The new relation $R^{\prime}$ has the same instance as $R$, but its schema has attribute $N_{i}^{\prime}$ instead of attribute $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- Example: $\rho($ Staff(Name -> Family_Name, Salary -> Gross_salary), Employee)
- Necessary if we need to perform a cartesian product or join of a table with itself


## Employee

| Name | Salary | Emp_No |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Clark | 150000 | 1006 |
| Gates | 5000000 | 1005 |
| Jones | 50000 | 1001 |
| Peters | 45000 | 1002 |
| Phillips | 25000 | 1004 |
| Rowe | 35000 | 1003 |
| Warnock | 500000 | 1007 |

## Staff

| Family_Name | Gross_Salary | Emp_No |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Clark | 150000 | 1006 |
| Gates | 5000000 | 1005 |
| Jones | 50000 | 1001 |
| Peters | 45000 | 1002 |
| Phillips | 25000 | 1004 |
| Rowe | 35000 | 1003 |
| Warnock | 500000 | 1007 |

## Division

Let $A$ have two fields $x$ and $y$
Let $B$ have one field $y$
A/B contains all $x$ tuples, such that for every $y$ tuple in $B$ there is a xy tuple in A

| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| s 1 | p 1 |
| s 1 | p 2 |
| s 1 | p 3 |
| s 1 | p 4 |
| s 2 | p 1 |
| s 2 | p 2 |
| s 3 | p 2 |
| s 4 | p 2 |
| s 4 | p 4 |

B
,

| $\mathbf{y}$ |
| :---: |
| p2 |
| p4 |


$=$| $\mathbf{x}$ |
| ---: |
| $s 1$ |
| $s 4$ | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$

## Example Division

Find the Employment numbers of the pilots who can fly all MD planes
Can_Fly / $\pi_{\text {Model_No }}\left(\sigma_{\text {Maker='MD }}\right.$ 'Plane $)$

| Emp_No | Model_No |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1001 | B727 |
| 1001 | B747 |
| 1001 | DC10 |
| 1002 | A320 |
| 1002 | A340 |
| 1002 | B757 |
| 1002 | DC9 |
| 1003 | A310 |
| 1003 | DC9 |
| 1003 | DC10 |


| Maker | Model_No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Airbus | A310 |
| Airbus | A320 |
| Airbus | A330 |
| Airbus | A340 |
| Boeing | B727 |
| Boeing | B747 |
| Boeing | B757 |
| MD | DC10 |
| MD | DC9 |


| Emp_No |
| :--- |
| 1003 |

## Additional Operators -

- An extension of the join operation that avoids loss of information.
- Computes the join and then adds tuples from one relation that do not match tuples in the other relation to the result of the join.
- Uses null values in left- or right- outer join:
- null signifies that the value is unknown or does not exist.
- All comparisons involving null are false by definition.


## Outer Join - Example

Ioan

| branch-name | loan-number | amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | L-170 | 3000 |
| Perryridge | L-260 | 1700 |
| Redwood | L-230 | 4000 |

borrower

| cust-name | loan-number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jones | $\mathrm{L}-170$ |
| Smith | $\mathrm{L}-230$ |
| Hayes | $\mathrm{L}-155$ |


| Loan Borrower | branch-name | loan-number | amount | cust-name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | $\mathrm{L}-170$ | 3000 | Jones |  |
| Redwood | $\mathrm{L}-230$ | 4000 | Smith |  |

Join returns only the matching (or "good") tuples
The fact that loan L-260 has no borrower is not explicit in the result Hayes has borrowed an non-existent loan L-155 is also undetected

## Left Outer Join -Example

## Left outer join: Loan $\square$ porrower

Keep the entire left relation (Loan) and fill in information from the right relation, use null if information is missing.

| branch-name | loan-number | amount | cust-name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | L-170 | 3000 | Jones |
| Perryridge | L-260 | 1700 | null |
| Redwood | L-230 | 4000 | Smith |

## Right and Full Outer Join - example

## Loan Borrower

| branch-name | amount | cust-name | loan-number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | 3000 | Jones | $\mathrm{L}-170$ |
| Redwood | 4000 | Smith | $\mathrm{L}-230$ |
| null | null | Hayes | $\mathrm{L}-155$ |

Loan $D$ - borrower

| branch-name | amount | cust-name | loan-number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | 3000 | Jones | $\mathrm{L}-170$ |
| Redwood | 4000 | Smith | $\mathrm{L}-230$ |
| Perryridge | 1700 | null | $\mathrm{L}-260$ |
| null | null | Hayes | $\mathrm{L}-155$ |

