## Lecture 13: All-Pairs Shortest Paths

CLRS Section 25.1

## Outline of this Lecture

- Introduction of the all-pairs shortest path problem.
- First solution using Dijkstra's algorithm.

Assumes no negative weight edges
$\Theta\left(|V|^{3} \log |V|\right)$.
Needs priority queues

- A (first) dynamic programming solution.

Only assumes no negative weight cycles.
First version is $\Theta\left(|V|^{4}\right)$.
Repeated squaring reduces to $\Theta\left(|V|^{3} \log |V|\right)$.
No special data structures needed.

## The All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem

Given a weighted digraph $G=(V, E)$ with weight function $w: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, ( $R$ is the set of real numbers), determine the length of the shortest path (i.e., distance) between all pairs of vertices in $G$. Here we assume that there are no cycles with zero or negative cost.

without negative cost cycle with negative cost cycle

## Solution 1: Using Dijkstra's Algorithm

If there are no negative cost edges apply Dijkstra's algorithm to each vertex (as the source) of the digraph.

- Recall that D's algorithm runs in $\Theta((n+e) \log n)$. This gives a

$$
\Theta(n(n+e) \log n)=\Theta\left(n^{2} \log n+n e \log n\right)
$$

time algorithm, where $n=|V|$ and $e=|E|$.

- If the digraph is dense, this is an $\Theta\left(n^{3} \log n\right)$ algorithm.
- With more advanced (complicated) data structures D's algorithm runs in $\Theta(n \log n+e)$ time yielding a $\Theta\left(n^{2} \log n+n e\right)$ final algorithm. For dense graphs this is $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$ time.


## Solution 2: Dynamic Programming

(1) How do we decompose the all-pairs shortest paths problem into subproblems?
(2) How do we express the optimal solution of a subproblem in terms of optimal solutions to some subsubproblems?
(3) How do we use the recursive relation from (2) to compute the optimal solution in a bottom-up fashion?
(4) How do we construct all the shortest paths?

## Solution 2: Input and Output Formats

To simplify the notation, we assume that $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
Assume that the graph is represented by an $n \times n$ matrix with the weights of the edges:

$$
w_{i j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=j, \\ w(i, j) & \text { if } i \neq j \text { and }(i, j) \in E, \\ \infty & \text { if } i \neq j \text { and }(i, j) \notin E .\end{cases}
$$

Output Format: an $n \times n$ matrix $D=\left[d_{i j}\right]$ where $d_{i j}$ is the length of the shortest path from vertex $i$ to $j$.

## Step 1: How to Decompose the Original Problem

- Subproblems with smaller sizes should be easier to solve.
- An optimal solution to a subproblem should be expressed in terms of the optimal solutions to subproblems with smaller sizes.

These are guidelines ONLY.

## Step 1: Decompose in a Natural Way

- Define $d_{i j}^{(m)}$ to be the length of the shortest path from $i$ to $j$ that contains at most $m$ edges. Let $D^{(m)}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix $\left[d_{i j}^{(m)}\right]$.
- $d_{i j}^{(n-1)}$ is the true distance from $i$ to $j$ (see next page for a proof this conclusion).
- Subproblems: compute $D^{(m)}$ for $m=1, \cdots, n-1$.

Question: Which $D^{(m)}$ is easiest to compute?

$$
d_{i j}^{(n-1)}=\text { True Distance from } i \text { to } j
$$

Proof: We prove that any shortest path $P$ from $i$ to $j$ contains at most $n-1$ edges.

First note that since all cycles have positive weight, a shortest path can have no cycles (if there were a cycle, we could remove it and lower the length of the path).

A path without cycles can have length at most $n-1$ (since a longer path must contain some vertex twice, that is, contain a cycle).

## A Recursive Formula

Consider a shortest path from $i$ to $j$ of length $d_{i j}^{(m)}$.


Case 1: It has at most $m-1$ edges.
Then $d_{i j}^{(m)}=d_{i j}^{(m-1)}=d_{i j}^{(m-1)}+w_{j j}$.
Case 2: It has $m$ edges. Let $k$ be the vertex before $j$ on a shortest path.
Then $d_{i j}^{(m)}=d_{i k}^{(m-1)}+w_{k j}$.

Combining the two cases,

$$
d_{i j}^{(m)}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\{d_{i k}^{(m-1)}+w_{k j}\right\}
$$

## Step 3: Bottom-up Computation of $D^{(n-1)}$

- Bottom: $D^{(1)}=\left[w_{i j}\right]$, the weight matrix.
- Compute $D^{(m)}$ from $D^{(m-1)}$, for $m=2, \ldots, n-1$, using

$$
d_{i j}^{(m)}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\{d_{i k}^{(m-1)}+w_{k j}\right\} .
$$

Example: Bottom-up Computation of $D^{(n-1)}$

Example

$D^{(1)}=\left[w_{i j}\right]$ is just the weight matrix:

$$
D^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 3 & 8 & \infty \\
\infty & 0 & 4 & 11 \\
\infty & \infty & 0 & 7 \\
4 & \infty & \infty & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example: Computing $D^{(2)}$ from $D^{(1)}$

$$
d_{i j}^{(2)}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq 4}\left\{d_{i k}^{(1)}+w_{k j}\right\} .
$$



With $D^{(1)}$ given earlier and the recursive formula,

$$
D^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 3 & 7 & 14 \\
15 & 0 & 4 & 11 \\
11 & \infty & 0 & 7 \\
4 & 7 & 12 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example: Computing $D^{(3)}$ from $D^{(2)}$

$$
d_{i j}^{(3)}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq 4}\left\{d_{i k}^{(2)}+w_{k j}\right\}
$$



With $D^{(2)}$ given earlier and the recursive formula,

$$
D^{(3)}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 3 & 7 & 14 \\
15 & 0 & 4 & 11 \\
11 & 14 & 0 & 7 \\
4 & 7 & 11 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$D^{(3)}$ gives the distances between any pair of vertices.

## The Algorithm for Computing $D^{(n-1)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } m=1 \text { to } n-1 \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { for } i=1 \text { to } n \\
\quad \text { for } j=1 \text { to } n \\
\quad\{\quad \\
\quad \min =\infty ; \\
\quad \text { for } k=1 \text { to } n \\
\quad\{\quad \\
\quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { new }=d_{i k}^{(m-1)}+w_{k j} ; \\
\quad \\
\quad \text { if }(\text { new }<\min ) \min =\text { new; }
\end{array} \\
\quad d_{i j}^{(m)}=\min ;
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comments on Solution 2

- Algorithm uses $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$ space; how can this be reduced down to $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ ?
- How can we extract the actual shortest paths from the solution?
- Running time $O\left(n^{4}\right)$, much worse than the solution using Dijkstra's algorithm. Can we improve this?


## Repeated Squaring

Observe that we are only interested to find $D^{(n-1)}$, all others $D^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-2$ are only auxiliary. Furthermore, since the graph does not have negative cycle, we have $D^{(n-1)}=D^{i}$, for all $i \geq n$.

In particular, this implies that $D^{\left(2^{\left[\log _{2} n\right\rceil}\right)}=D^{(n-1)}$.
We can calculate $D^{\left(2^{\left[\log _{2} n\right\rceil}\right)}$ using "repeated squaring" to find

$$
D^{(2)}, D^{(4)}, D^{(8)}, \ldots, D^{\left(2^{\left[\log _{2} n\right]}\right)}
$$

We use the recurrence relation:

- Bottom: $D^{(1)}=\left[w_{i j}\right]$, the weight matrix.
- For $s \geq 1$ compute $D^{(2 s)}$ using

$$
d_{i j}^{(2 s)}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\{d_{i k}^{(s)}+d_{k j}^{(s)}\right\} .
$$

Given this relation we can calculate $D^{\left(2^{i}\right)}$ from $D^{\left(2^{i-1}\right)}$ in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time. We can therefore calculate all of

$$
D^{(2)}, D^{(4)}, D^{(8)}, \ldots, D^{\left(2^{\left[\log _{2} n\right]}\right)}=D^{(n)}
$$

in $O\left(n^{3} \log n\right)$ time, improving our running time.

## The Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

## Step 1 : Decomposition

Definition: The vertices $v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{l-1}$ are called the intermediate vertices of the path $p=\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{l-1}, v_{l}\right\rangle$.

- Let $d_{i j}^{(k)}$ be the length of the shortest path from $i$ to $j$ such that all intermediate vertices on the path (if any) are in set $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$.
$d_{i j}^{(0)}$ is set to be $w_{i j}$, i.e., no intermediate vertex. Let $D^{(k)}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix $\left[d_{i j}^{(k)}\right]$.
- Claim: $d_{i j}^{(n)}$ is the distance from $i$ to $j$. So our aim is to compute $D^{(n)}$.
- Subproblems: compute $D^{(k)}$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n$.


## Step 2: Structure of shortest paths

Observation 1: A shortest path does not contain the same vertex twice. Proof: A path containing the same vertex twice contains a cycle. Removing cycle gives a shorter path.

Observation 2: For a shortest path from $i$ to $j$ such that any intermediate vertices on the path are chosen from the set $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, there are two possibilities:

1. $k$ is not a vertex on the path,

The shortest such path has length $d_{i j}^{(k-1)}$.
2. $k$ is a vertex on the path.

The shortest such path has length $d_{i k}^{(k-1)}+d_{k j}^{(k-1)}$.

## Step 2: Structure of shortest paths

Consider a shortest path from $i$ to $j$ containing the vertex $k$. It consists of a subpath from $i$ to $k$ and a subpath from $k$ to $j$.
Each subpath can only contain intermediate vertices in $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, and must be as short as possible, namely they have lengths $d_{i k}^{(k-1)}$ and $d_{k j}^{(k-1)}$.

Hence the path has length $d_{i k}^{(k-1)}+d_{k j}^{(k-1)}$.
Combining the two cases we get

$$
d_{i j}^{(k)}=\min \left\{d_{i j}^{(k-1)}, d_{i k}^{(k-1)}+d_{k j}^{(k-1)}\right\} .
$$

## Step 3: the Bottom-up Computation

- Bottom: $D^{(0)}=\left[w_{i j}\right]$, the weight matrix.
- Compute $D^{(k)}$ from $D^{(k-1)}$ using

$$
d_{i j}^{(k)}=\min \left(d_{i j}^{(k-1)}, d_{i k}^{(k-1)}+d_{k j}^{(k-1)}\right)
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, n$.

## The Floyd-Warshall Algorithm: Version 1

Floyd-Warshall $(w, n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for } i=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
\quad \text { for } j=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
\quad\left\{D^{0}[i, j]=w[i, j] ;\right. \\
\quad \text { pred }[i, j]=n i l ;
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { inialize } \\
& \quad\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=1$ to $n$ do

$$
\text { for } i=1 \text { to } n \text { do }
$$

$$
\text { for } j=1 \text { to } n \text { do }
$$

$$
\text { if }\left(d^{(k-1)}[i, k]+d^{(k-1)}[k, j]<d^{(k)}[i, j]\right)
$$

$$
\left\{d^{(k)}[i, j]=d^{(k-1)}[i, k]+d^{(k-1)}[k, j] ;\right.
$$

$$
\operatorname{pred}[i, j]=k ;\}
$$

$$
\text { else } d^{(k)}[i, j]=d^{(k-1)}[i, j] ;
$$

return $d^{(n)}[1 . . n, 1 . . n]$;
\}

## Comments on the Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

- The algorithm's running time is clearly $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$.
- The predecessor pointer pred[i, j] can be used to extract the final path (see later).
- Problem: the algorithm uses $\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$ space. It is possible to reduce this down to $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ space by keeping only one matrix instead of $n$.
Algorithm is on next page. Convince yourself that it works.


## The Floyd-Warshall Algorithm: Version 2

Floyd-Warshall $(w, n)$

```
\(\{\) for \(i=1\) to \(n\) do
initialize
    for \(j=1\) to \(n\) do
    \(\{d[i, j]=w[i, j]\);
        \(\operatorname{pred}[i, j]=n i l ;\)
    \}
```

for $k=1$ to $n$ do
dynamic programming for $i=1$ to $n$ do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \text { if }(d[i, k]+d[k, j]<d[i, j]) \\
& \quad\{d[i, j]=d[i, k]+d[k, j] ; \\
& \quad \operatorname{pred}[i, j]=k ;\}
\end{aligned}
$$

return $d[1 . . n, 1 . . n]$;
\}

## Extracting the Shortest Paths

The predecessor pointers pred $[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]$ can be used to extract the final path. The idea is as follows.

Whenever we discover that the shortest path from $i$ to $j$ passes through an intermediate vertex $k$, we set $\operatorname{pred}[i, j]=k$.

If the shortest path does not pass through any intermediate vertex, then pred $[i, j]=$ nil.

To find the shortest path from $i$ to $j$, we consult pred $[i, j]$. If it is nil, then the shortest path is just the edge $(i, j)$. Otherwise, we recursively compute the shortest path from $i$ to $\operatorname{pred}[i, j]$ and the shortest path from $\operatorname{pred}[i, j]$ to $j$.

## The Algorithm for Extracting the Shortest Paths

```
Path(i,j)
{
    if (pred[i,j] = nil) single edge
        output (i,j);
    else compute the two parts of the path
    {
            Path(i,pred[i,j]);
            Path(pred[i,j],j);
    }
}
```


## Example of Extracting the Shortest Paths

Find the shortest path from vertex 2 to vertex 3 .
2..3 $\operatorname{Path}(2,3) \quad \operatorname{pred}[2,3]=4$
2..4..3 $\operatorname{Path}(2,4) \quad \operatorname{pred}[2,4]=5$
2..5..4..3 Path(2,5) pred[2,5] = nil Output $(2,5)$
25..4..3 Path(5,4) pred $[5,4]=$ nil Output $(5,4)$
254..3 Path(4, 3) $\operatorname{pred}[4,3]=6$
254..6..3 Path $(4,6) \operatorname{pred}[4,6]=$ nil Output $(4,6)$
2546..3 $\operatorname{Path}(6,3) \operatorname{pred}[6,3]=$ nil $\operatorname{Output}(6,3)$
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