Lecture 3: The Polynomial Multiplication Problem

A More General Divide-and-Conquer Approach

Divide: Divide a given problem into subproblems (ideally of approximately equal size).No longer only TWO subproblems

Conquer: Solve each subproblem (directly or **recursively**), and

Combine: Combine the solutions of the subproblems into a global solution.

The Polynomial Multiplication Problem

another divide-and-conquer algorithm

Problem:

Given two polynomials of degree n

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

 $B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_n x^n$,

compute the product A(x)B(x).

Example:

$$A(x) = 1 + 2x + 3x^{2}$$

$$B(x) = 3 + 2x + 2x^{2}$$

$$A(x)B(x) = 3 + 8x + 15x^{2} + 10x^{3} + 6x^{4}$$

Question: How can we efficiently calculate the coefficients of A(x)B(x)?

Assume that the coefficients a_i and b_i are stored in arrays $A[0 \dots n]$ and $B[0 \dots n]$.

Cost of any algorithm is number of scalar multiplications and additions performed.

Convolutions

Let $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i$.

Set $C(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} c_i x^i = A(x)B(x).$

Then

$$c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i}$$

for all $0 \le k \le m + n$.

Definition: The vector $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{m+n})$ is the convolution of the vectors (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) and (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_m) .

Calculating convolutions (and thus polynomial multiplication) is a major problem in digital signal processing.

The Direct (Brute Force) Approach

Let $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i x^i$.

Set $C(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_i x^i = A(x)B(x)$ with

$$c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i}$$

for all $0 \le k \le 2n$.

The direct approach is to compute all c_k using the formula above. The total number of multiplications and additions needed are $\Theta(n^2)$ and $\Theta(n^2)$ respectively. Hence the complexity is $\Theta(n^2)$.

Questions: Can we do better?

Can we apply the divide-and-conquer approach to develop an algorithm?

The Divide-and-Conquer Approach

The Divide Step: Define

$$A_{0}(x) = a_{0} + a_{1}x + \dots + a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1},$$

$$A_{1}(x) = a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}x + \dots + a_{n}x^{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Then $A(x) = A_{0}(x) + A_{1}(x)x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$

Similarly we define $B_0(x)$ and $B_1(x)$ such that

$$B(x) = B_0(x) + B_1(x)x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Then

$$A(x)B(x) = A_0(x)B_0(x) + A_0(x)B_1(x)x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + A_1(x)B_0(x)x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + A_1(x)B_1(x)x^{2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Remark: The original problem of size n is divided into 4 problems of input size $\frac{n}{2}$.

Example:

$$A(x) = 2 + 5x + 3x^{2} + x^{3} - x^{4}$$

$$B(x) = 1 + 2x + 2x^{2} + 3x^{3} + 6x^{4}$$

$$A(x)B(x) = 2 + 9x + 17x^{2} + 23x^{3} + 34x^{4} + 39x^{5}$$

$$+19x^{6} + 3x^{7} - 6x^{8}$$

$$A_{0}(x) = 2 + 5x, \quad A_{1}(x) = 3 + x - x^{2}, \\ A(x) = A_{0}(x) + A_{1}(x)x^{2} \\ B_{0}(x) = 1 + 2x, \quad B_{1}(x) = 2 + 3x + 6x^{2}, \\ B(x) = B_{0}(x) + B_{1}(x)x^{2}$$

$$A_{0}(x)B_{0}(x) = 2 + 9x + 10x^{2}$$

$$A_{1}(x)B_{1}(x) = 6 + 11x + 19x^{2} + 3x^{3} - 6x^{4}$$

$$A_{0}(x)B_{1}(x) = 4 + 16x + 27x^{2} + 30x^{3}$$

$$A_{1}(x)B_{0}(x) = 3 + 7x + x^{2} - 2x^{3}$$

$$A_{0}(x)B_{1}(x) + A_{1}(x)B_{0}(x) = 7 + 23x + 28x^{2} + 28x^{3}$$

$$A_0(x)B_0(x) + (A_0(x)B_1(x) + A_1(x)B_0(x))x^2 + A_1(x)B_1(x)x^4$$

= 2 + 9x + 17x^2 + 23x^3 + 34x^4 + 39x^5 + 19x^6 + 3x^7 - 6x^8

The Divide-and-Conquer Approach

The Conquer Step: Solve the four subproblems, i.e., computing

$$A_0(x)B_0(x), A_0(x)B_1(x), A_1(x)B_0(x), A_1(x)B_1(x)$$

by recursively calling the algorithm 4 times.

The Divide-and-Conquer Approach

The Combining Step: Adding the following four polynomials

$$A_{0}(x)B_{0}(x)$$

$$+A_{0}(x)B_{1}(x)x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$$

$$+A_{1}(x)B_{0}(x)x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$$

$$+A_{1}(x)B_{1}(x)x^{2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

takes $\Theta(n)$ operations. Why?

The First Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PolyMulti1}(A(x), B(x)) \\ \{ \\ & A_0(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}; \\ & A_1(x) = a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} x + \dots + a_n x^{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}; \\ & B_0(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}; \\ & B_1(x) = b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} x + \dots + b_n x^{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}; \\ & U(x) = PolyMulti1(A_0(x), B_0(x)); \\ & V(x) = PolyMulti1(A_0(x), B_1(x)); \\ & W(x) = PolyMulti1(A_1(x), B_0(x)); \\ & Z(x) = PolyMulti1(A_1(x), B_1(x)); \\ & \text{return} \left(U(x) + [V(x) + W(x)] x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + Z(x) x^{2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Running Time of the Algorithm

Assume *n* is a power of 2, $n = 2^h$. By substitution (expansion),

$$T(n) = 4T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

$$= 4\left[4T\left(\frac{n}{2^{2}}\right) + c\frac{n}{2}\right] + cn$$

$$= 4^{2}T\left(\frac{n}{2^{2}}\right) + (1+2)cn$$

$$= 4^{2}\left[4T\left(\frac{n}{2^{3}}\right) + c\frac{n}{2^{2}}\right] + (1+2)cn$$

$$= 4^{3}T\left(\frac{n}{2^{3}}\right) + (1+2+2^{2})cn$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= 4^{i}T\left(\frac{n}{2^{i}}\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^{j}cn \quad \text{(induction)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= 4^{h}T\left(\frac{n}{2^{h}}\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} 2^{j}cn$$

$$= n^{2}T(1) + cn(n-1)$$

$$(\text{since } n = 2^{h} \text{ and } \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} 2^{j} = 2^{h} - 1 = n - 1)$$

$$= \Theta(n^{2}).$$

The same order as the brute force approach!

10

Comments on the Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

Comments: The divide-and-conquer approach makes no essential improvement over the brute force approach!

Question: Why does this happen.

Question: Can you improve this divide-and-conquer algorithm?

Problem: Given 4 numbers

A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1

how many multiplications are needed to calculate the three values

$$A_0B_0, A_0B_1 + A_1B_0, A_1B_1?$$

This can obviously be done using 4 multiplications but there is a way of doing this using only the following 3:

$$Y = (A_0 + A_1)(B_0 + B_1)$$
$$U = A_0 B_0$$
$$Z = A_1 B_1$$

 \boldsymbol{U} and \boldsymbol{Z} are what we originally wanted and

$$A_0B_1 + A_1B_0 = Y - U - Z.$$

Improving the Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

Define

$$Y(x) = (A_0(x) + A_1(x)) \times (B_0(x) + B_1(x))$$

$$U(x) = A_0(x)B_0(x)$$

$$Z(x) = A_1(x)B_1(x)$$

Then

 $Y(x) - U(x) - Z(x) = A_0(x)B_1(x) + A_1(x)B_0(x).$ Hence A(x)B(x) is equal to $U(x) + [Y(x) - U(x) - Z(x)]x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + Z(x) \times x^{2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$

Conclusion: You need to call the multiplication procedure **3**, rather than **4** times.

The Second Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

PolyMulti2(A(x), B(x))
{

$$A_0(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1};$$

 $A_1(x) = a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}x + \dots + a_nx^{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor};$
 $B_0(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1};$
 $B_1(x) = b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}x + \dots + b_nx^{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor};$
 $Y(x) = PolyMulti2(A_0(x) + A_1(x), B_0(x) + B_1(x)))$
 $U(x) = PolyMulti2(A_0(x), B_0(x));$
 $Z(x) = PolyMulti2(A_1(x), B_1(x));$
return $\left(U(x) + [Y(x) - U(x) - Z(x)]x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + Z(x)x^{2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\right);$

Running Time of the Modified Algorithm

Assume $n = 2^h$. Let $\lg x$ denote $\log_2 x$. By the substitution method,

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

= $3\left[3T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + c\frac{n}{2}\right] + cn$
= $3^2T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\right)cn$
= $3^2\left[3T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) + c\frac{n}{2^2}\right] + \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\right)cn$
= $3^3T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} + \left[\frac{3}{2}\right]^2\right)cn$
:
= $3^hT\left(\frac{n}{2^h}\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{h-1}\left[\frac{3}{2}\right]^jcn.$

We have

$$3^{h} = (2^{\lg 3})^{h} = 2^{h \lg 3} = (2^{h})^{\lg 3} = n^{\lg 3} \approx n^{1.585},$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{h-1} \left[\frac{3}{2}\right]^j = \frac{(3/2)^h - 1}{3/2 - 1} = 2 \cdot \frac{3^h}{2^h} - 2 = 2n^{\lg 3 - 1} - 2.$$

Hence

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\lg 3}T(1) + 2cn^{\lg 3}) = \Theta(n^{\lg 3}).$$

15

Comments

- The divide-and-conquer approach doesn't always give you the best solution.
 Our original D-A-C algorithm was just as bad as brute force.
- There is actually an O(n log n) solution to the polynomial multiplication problem. It involves using the Fast Fourier Transform algorithm as a subroutine. The FFT is another classic D-A-C algorithm (Chapt 30 in CLRS gives details).
- The idea of using 3 multiplications instead of 4 is used in large-integer multiplications.
 A similar idea is the basis of the classic Strassen matrix multiplication algorithm (CLRS, Chapter 28).